

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Notes:		
I found this course interesting and relevant								
The course objectives were clearly presented and met	$\checkmark$							
This course was interesting, and stimulated my interest in the subject matter								
The course description accurately reflected the content of the course	V							
The course documentation provided was clear and well organized								
The course instructors were well prepared and helpful								
The theory lectures met the course objectives	V							
The practical sessions met the course objectives	V							
	Excellent	Good	Аvегаде	Poor	Very Poor			
What overall rating would you give the course?	V							
Would you recommend this course to other students?  Yes						l <sub>e</sub>		
What are the major strengths of this course?	What are the major	weaknesses	of this course?					
Wide range of methods	- Can be nice it not only protein-ligand interaction trom the size of arganic ligands will be thought, but also metals / ions I general structural studies (wellhook like SAXS & EM weren't described at all)							
What would you recommend to improve this course?	Additional commen							
-AJJ SAXS, EM	Great st	sff-ver	y helpfui	ľ				
-Add SAXS, EM -Have all the computers prepared a fixed	Great sta	Ana-Lu	isa & t	Eurico!				

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Notes:
I found this course interesting and relevant	X					
The course objectives were clearly presented and met	$ ot \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = x_i$					
This course was interesting, and stimulated my interest in the subject matter	$\bowtie$					
The course description accurately reflected the content of the course	$ \boxtimes$					
The course documentation provided was clear and well organized						
The course instructors were well prepared and helpful	$ \boxtimes$					
The theory lectures met the course objectives	$\bowtie$					
The practical sessions met the course objectives	$\bowtie$				54	
	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Very Poor	
What overall rating would you give the course?	$\boxtimes$					
Would you recommend this course to other students?						
I would strongly recommend this cour	re_					
What are the major strengths of this course?	What are the major	weaknesses	of this course?			
- Lectures are connected with practical	- too shor	f time	e for pi	achical	classes, not	enough
classes shoughy - topics and their presentations is well-explained	to under	ritud a	ell and	someti	classes, not ives to follow	7
- topics and their presentations is					and long	
well-explained	- poster s	Canens	s beve 1	o mere	and wig	
***						
What would you recommend to improve this course?	Additional commen	ts?				
			ried war	je, nhic	h when bro	ad
longer	Speetum .			,		
- hand on experiments could be longer - hetorials for each classes should be given at the beginning or one day before classer		`				
given at the beginning or one day to have	L					
Classer			V			



Disagree

Strongly Disagree

Neutral

Notes:

			•		3, 3				
I found this course interesting and relevant									
The course objectives were clearly presented and met									
This course was interesting, and stimulated my interest in the subject matter									
The course description accurately reflected the content of the course	× ×								
The course documentation provided was clear and well organized									
The course instructors were well prepared and helpful									
The theory lectures met the course objectives	Ž.								
The practical sessions met the course objectives									
	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Very Poor				
What overall rating would you give the course?									
Would you recommend this course to other students?						F			
What are the major strengths of this course?	What are the major	r weaknesse	s of this course?						
Good oursination of Ourselhands-on training.	What are the major weaknesses of this course?  The invited lecturer (his oarrays) was modified, but in my opinion his topic was more that much important linteresting for the participants.  For the participants.  The lecture on molecular modelling was really good, but the hands-on course was the worst course of the wholeweek the hands-on course was the worst course of the wholeweek.  The profesor yest gave as a protocol and dictnot explain.								
What would you recommend to improve this course?  What would you recommend to improve this course?	Additional comments? anything. Then M was just siting there and checking his Enails. His associated was going around to help as. But one person is just not enough. Host people followed the protocol, but do not understand anything at all.								

Strongly Agree Agree



	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Notes:
I found this course interesting and relevant	×					
The course objectives were clearly presented and met	$\bowtie$	<b>MAN</b>				
This course was interesting, and stimulated my interest in the subject matter	X					
The course description accurately reflected the content of the course	X					
The course documentation provided was clear and well organized						
The course instructors were well prepared and helpful						
The theory lectures met the course objectives	$\boxtimes$					
The practical sessions met the course objectives	$\boxtimes$					
	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Very Poor	
What overall rating would you give the course?	X					
Would you recommend this course to other students?						Į.
yes						
What are the major strengths of this course?	What are the major	weaknesses	of this course?			
that the course focuses on hands-on	that we a	inly had	d one	instruct	or in the mo	lecular
practicals	modeuina					
	·		<u> </u>			
	ļ					
What would you recommend to improve this course?	Additional commer					
	great food	and re	ally nice	notel		

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Notes:
I found this course interesting and relevant	₫,					
The course objectives were clearly presented and met						
This course was interesting, and stimulated my interest in the subject matter						
The course description accurately reflected the content of the course	$\square$					
The course documentation provided was clear and well organized	$\mathbf{Z}_{/}$					
The course instructors were well prepared and helpful						
The theory lectures met the course objectives						
The practical sessions met the course objectives		9				
	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Very Poor	
What overall rating would you give the course?						
Would you recommend this course to other students?						
What are the major strengths of this course?	What are the major	weaknesses	of this course?	)		
Provides good imsight into	Haybe	100	broad	- CO V	ers man	e
various structural biology	Subjec	+5			ers man	J
Provides good imsight into various structural biology techniques	0					
	Additional commen			and the last of	Section 2000	1 0
Maybe limit the number of Subjects /techniques and	Overes	e it	r was	. very	very go	ood:
Subjects /techniques and	1201 2011	Lha	20/05	1 - h	niean	izer S

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Notes:
I found this course interesting and relevant						
The course objectives were clearly presented and met						
This course was interesting, and stimulated my interest in the subject matter						
The course description accurately reflected the content of the course	9					
The course documentation provided was clear and well organized						
The course instructors were well prepared and helpful		U				
The theory lectures met the course objectives						
The practical sessions met the course objectives	4					
	Excellent	Good	Аvегаде	Poor	Very Poor	
What overall rating would you give the course?	L					
Would you recommend this course to other students?						L
What are the major strengths of this course?	What are the major	weaknesses	of this course?			
Juvited tutors are expets in their field > ve have the opportunity to talk with / learn from many areas  What would you recommend to improve this course?	Often to	lie le the session by	ectur re al reale	es/had lotted rth .	ds-onz = l time f nove frequent	estaps vent int
Alvertise more thoroughly to altract participants from a wider range of backeyounds						



		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Notes:
	I found this course interesting and relevant	$\boxtimes$					
	The course objectives were clearly presented and met	X					
	This course was interesting, and stimulated my interest in the subject matter	×					
	The course description accurately reflected the content of the course						
	The course documentation provided was clear and well organized						1
	The course instructors were well prepared and helpful	$\boxtimes$					
	The theory lectures met the course objectives						
	The practical sessions met the course objectives						
		Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Very Poor	
	What overall rating would you give the course?	$\boxtimes$					
	Would you recommend this course to other students?						T.
	Yes.						
	What are the major strengths of this course?	What are the major v	weaknesses	of this course?			
The thought	The good environment that the lators have formented allowing the transmission of high quality screntific contents while being available to help us with our questions.  The posters sessions were a good example of a collaborative spriit.						
0 1	What would you recommend to improve this course?	Additional comment	:s?				
		Consiahlation	s and -	thank you	1		

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Notes:
I found this course interesting and relevant						malecular
The course objectives were clearly presented and met	X					moldelling part
This course was interesting, and stimulated my interest in the subject matter	$ ot \square $					should bit impor
The course description accurately reflected the content of the course						
The course documentation provided was clear and well organized						
The course instructors were well prepared and helpful	$\boxtimes$					
The theory lectures met the course objectives	$\bowtie$					
The practical sessions met the course objectives		$\boxtimes$				
	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Very Poor	
What overall rating would you give the course?	$\boxtimes$					
Would you recommend this course to other students?						
What are the major strengths of this course?	What are the major	weaknesses	of this course?			
Combination of theory and hands on lessons	more of Would	explorions as	during	Conpu (espec.	her lessons for the model	lling part 1)
What would you recommend to improve this course?						0 1
What would you recommend to improve this course?	Additional commen					
It would be mee to measure	Very w	ell org	onized	course		
It would be vice to measure  more west somples (Microaney)	Ded ex	olonatio.	c al al	Lonics	1	



	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Notes:	
I found this course interesting and relevant	$\boxtimes$						
The course objectives were clearly presented and met	$\boxtimes$						
This course was interesting, and stimulated my interest in the subject matter	X						
The course description accurately reflected the content of the course	$\mathbf{x}$						
The course documentation provided was clear and well organized							
The course instructors were well prepared and helpful	$\boxtimes$						
The theory lectures met the course objectives		$\boxtimes$					
The practical sessions met the course objectives							
	Excellent	Good	Average	Роог	Very Poor		
What overall rating would you give the course?							
Would you recommend this course to other students?					М		
yes.							
What are the major strengths of this course?	What are the major v	veaknesses	of this course?				
The wick range of topics covered, as well as the willingness of the instructors to share their experience and help the students solve their persticular problems.							
What would you recommend to improve this course?	Additional comment	s?					
number of days of the course, and							



	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Notes:
I found this course interesting and relevant	$\boxtimes$					
The course objectives were clearly presented and met	$\boxtimes$					
This course was interesting, and stimulated my interest in the subject matter	<b>A</b>					
The course description accurately reflected the content of the course	<b>X</b>					
The course documentation provided was clear and well organized	$\nearrow$					Waiting for g. Drive
The course instructors were well prepared and helpful	X					access
The theory lectures met the course objectives	8					
The practical sessions met the course objectives		8				
	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Very Poor	
What overall rating would you give the course?	$\boxtimes$					
Would you recommend this course to other students?						1
Honds on is centerly a differential point, but also the cases and group experience do stimulate us to collaborate and work together.	What are the major of the gnes, must be sand me-	vot d	of this course?  reelly w  to foll  y mate	an Vana ar	n protein che contextual uld be nice	ize. May be
What would you recommend to improve this course?  More objective practicals and assurance of results' obtainment.	Additional comment	rs?				

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Notes:			
I found this course interesting and relevant	X								
The course objectives were clearly presented and met	$\times$								
This course was interesting, and stimulated my interest in the subject matter									
The course description accurately reflected the content of the course									
The course documentation provided was clear and well organized	$\bowtie$								
The course instructors were well prepared and helpful	$\triangleright$								
The theory lectures met the course objectives	$\boxtimes$								
The practical sessions met the course objectives									
	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Very Poor				
What overall rating would you give the course?	X								
Would you recommend this course to other students?  I have already recommended this course to my colleagues at FMV (pHD students, mostly)									
Lessons are based in cese studies and backed up by bourds -on experience at the lab and computers.	What are the major weaknesses of this course?  I havesty did not find any weaknesses in this wouse,								
What would you recommend to improve this course?	Additional comment	ts?							
The only thing I would like to have bad the chance to to war Keep up the good work!  Seeing visiting the sinchroten but that's obviously logistically possible									

INSTRUCT/COST ISBio2015 Training Course 'Integrative Structural Biology tools for the study of protein-ligand interactions', July 13-19, 2015 Chemistry Department (UCIBIO-FCT-UNL)

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Notes:
I found this course interesting and relevant						
The course objectives were clearly presented and met						
This course was interesting, and stimulated my interest in the subject matter						
The course description accurately reflected the content of the course		V				
The course documentation provided was clear and well organized						
The course instructors were well prepared and helpful						23
The theory lectures met the course objectives						
The practical sessions met the course objectives						
	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Very Poor	
What overall rating would you give the course?						
Would you recommend this course to other students?						1
yes						
What are the major strengths of this course?	What are the major	weaknesses	of this course	?		
The variety of courses in the field of	The lack	of tin	e. It i	would l	have been intention to	esting to
of training/practical sessions.	use the st	udy-co.	se in al	1 procti	cal session to	betten
of training/practical sessions.		the t	echniques	and the	e opplicability	in proten-ligand
J' F	interactions.					
			<b>S</b>			
What would you recommend to improve this course?	Additional commen	nts?				

analyze the study-cose so that we can follow the process of protein-ligard interaction discover.

The environment of the course was excellent. Everything was very well organized and the professions were very friendly and available for our doubts and problems.



- mice quidance tolisted - mice Hotel undgeeat Look

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Notes:
I found this course interesting and relevant	X					
The course objectives were clearly presented and met	X					
This course was interesting, and stimulated my interest in the subject matter	$\nearrow$					
The course description accurately reflected the content of the course		X				,
The course documentation provided was clear and well organized	$\bowtie$					
The course instructors were well prepared and helpful		X				
The theory lectures met the course objectives	$\bowtie$					
The practical sessions met the course objectives		R				
	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Very Poor	
What overall rating would you give the course?	$\triangleright$					
Would you recommend this course to other students?						
What are the major strengths of this course?	What are the major weaknesses of this course?					
-broad introduction to important biophysical techniques -intercultural experience and exchange of scientific knowledge between different fields -dedicated advisors which gave a good feelings for the advantages and disadvantages of the techniques	-the computer were very bad and it was frustrating, that the programs very often broke down -the molecular modelling course was bad advised and to short to introduce the technique -the afternoon lecture at monday was a bit long and boring					
What would you recommend to improve this course?	Additional comments?					
-better computer -more focus to one technique per course, for instance a week only with NMR or crystallography	-for NMR for instance, i whished to hear more about it one week with only one of technique for protein ligand interaction would be great					